arXiv:math/0511653v1 [math.RA] 27 Nov 2005

REPRESENTATIONS OF MENGER $(2,n)$-SEMIGROUPS BY MULTIPLACE FUNCTIONS

Wiesław A. Dudek[1] **and Valentin S. Trokhimenko**[2]

February 2, 2008

[1]Institute of Mathematics and Computer Science,
Wroclaw University of Technology,
50-370 Wrocław, Poland
E-mail: dudek@im.pwr.wroc.pl
[2]Department of Mathematics, Pedagogical University,
21100 Vinnitsa, Ukraine
E-mail: vtrokhim@sovamua.com

Abstract

Investigation of partial multiplace functions by algebraic methods plays an important role in modern mathematics were we consider various operations on sets of functions, which are naturally defined. The basic operation for n-place functions is an $(n+1)$-ary superposition $[\ \ ]$, but there are some other naturally defined operations, which are also worth of consideration. In this paper we consider binary Mann's compositions $\underset{1}{\oplus},\ldots,\underset{n}{\oplus}$ for partial n-place functions, which have many important applications for the study of binary and n-ary operations. We present methods of representations of such algebras by n-place functions and find an abstract characterization of the set of n-place functions closed with respect to the set-theoretic inclusion.

1. Let A^n be the n-th Cartesian product of a set A. The set of all partial mappings from A^n into A is denoted by $\mathcal{F}(A^n,A)$. On $\mathcal{F}(A^n,A)$ we define one $(n+1)$-ary superposition $[\ \ ]$ and n binary compositions $\underset{1}{\oplus},\ldots,\underset{n}{\oplus}$ putting

$$[f,g_1,\ldots,g_n](a_1,\ldots,a_n)=f(g_1(a_1,\ldots,a_n),\ldots,g_n(a_1,\ldots,a_n)),\quad (1)$$

$$(f\underset{i}{\oplus}g)(a_1,\ldots,a_n)=f(a_1,\ldots,a_{i-1},g(a_1,\ldots,a_n),a_{i+1},\ldots,a_n),\quad (2)$$

where $a_1,\ldots,a_n\in A$, $f,g,g_1,\ldots,g_n\in\mathcal{F}(A^n,A)$. It is assumed that the left and right hand of (1) and (2) are defined or not defined simultaneously. Since, as it is not difficult to verify, each composition $\underset{i}{\oplus}$ is an associative operation, algebras of the form $(\Phi,[\ \ ],\underset{1}{\oplus},\ldots,\underset{n}{\oplus})$, where $\Phi\subset\mathcal{F}(A^n,A)$, are called *Menger* $(2,n)$*-semigroups of* n*-place functions*. If Φ contains only full n-place functions (called also n-ary operations[1]), i.e. functions which are defined for every $(a_1,\ldots,a_n)\in A^n$, then $(\Phi,[\ \ ],\underset{1}{\oplus},\ldots,\underset{n}{\oplus})$ is called a *Menger* $(2,n)$*-semigroup of full* n*-place functions* (or n*-ary operations*). An abstract characterization of such $(2,n)$-semigroups is given in [12]. $(2,2)$-semigroups of binary operations are characterized in [14] and [17]. Algebras $(\Phi,\underset{1}{\oplus},\ldots,\underset{n}{\oplus})$ are considered also in [1] and [16]. The algebraic properties of the compositions $\underset{1}{\oplus},\ldots,\underset{n}{\oplus}$ firstly were studied by Mann [7].

In this paper we find an abstract characterization of the class algebras of multiplace functions of the form $(\Phi,[\ ],\underset{1}{\oplus},\ldots,\underset{n}{\oplus},\subset)$, where Φ is a set of partial n-place functions and $\subset$ is an inclusion of functions.[2] Such problems were earlier considered for the class of semigroups of transformations in [9], [10] and for Menger algebras of multiplace functions in [11], [13].

In the theory of such algebras an important role play so-called *projectors*, i.e. maps $I_i : A^n\to A$ such that $I_i(a_1,\ldots,a_n)=a_i$ for all $a_1,\ldots,a_n\in A$ and $i=1,\ldots,n$.

2. After Menger [8], an $(n+1)$-ary operation $[\ \ ]$ defined on G is *superassociative*, if for all $x,y_1,\ldots,y_n,z_1,\ldots,z_n\in G$ the following identity holds:

$$[\,[x,y_1,\ldots,y_n],z_1,\ldots,z_n]=[x,[y_1,z_1,\ldots,z_n],\ldots,[y_n,z_1,\ldots,z_n]\,].\qquad(3)$$

An $(n+1)$-ary groupoid $(G;[\ \ ])$ satisfying the above identity is called a *Menger algebra of rank* n (cf. [11]). For $n=1$ it is an arbitrary semigroup.

Such algebras are investigated by many authors. For example, Gluskin in [6] find some algebraic properties of such algebras. Menger algebras satisfying some solvability criteria are described in [2, 3, 4, 11]. Representations of such algebras by some n-place functions are given in [5, 11, 15].

According to the general convention used in the theory of n-ary systems, the sequence $x_i,x_{i+1},\ldots,x_j$, where $i\leqslant j$, can be written as x_i^j (for $i>j$

---

[1] In the case of universal algebras the term "partial functions" is replaced by the term "partial operations". Instead of "full functions" we say also "operations".

[2] $f\subset g$ means that the domain of f is contained in the domain g and $f(x)=g(x)$ for all x from the domain of f.

it is the empty symbol). Then the above identity has the form:

$$[\,[x, y_1^n], z_1^n] = [x, [y_1, z_1^n], \dots, [y_n, z_1^n]\,]. \tag{4}$$

In this convention (1) and (2) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} &[f, g_1^n](a_1^n) = f(g_1(a_1^n), \dots, g_n(a_1^n)), \\ &(f \underset{i}{\oplus} g)(a_1^n) = f(a_1^{i-1}, g(a_1^n), a_{i+1}^n). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $(\cdots((x \underset{i_1}{\oplus} y_1) \underset{i_2}{\oplus} y_2) \cdots) \underset{i_k}{\oplus} y_k$ will be written in the abbreviated form as $x \underset{i_1}{\oplus} y_1 \underset{i_2}{\oplus} \cdots \underset{i_k}{\oplus} y_k$ or $x \overset{i_k}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^k$.

Let $\{\underset{1}{\oplus}, \dots, \underset{n}{\oplus}\}$ be a collection of associative binary operations defined on G. According to [12] and [16], $(G, \underset{1}{\oplus}, \dots, \underset{n}{\oplus})$ will be called a $(2,n)$-*semigroup*. A $(2,n)$-semigroup with an additional $(n+1)$-ary operation $[\ \ ]$ satisfying (4) will be called a *Menger* $(2,n)$*-semigroup* and will be denoted by $(G, [\ \ ], \underset{1}{\oplus}, \dots, \underset{n}{\oplus})$.

Any homomorphism P of a Menger $(2,n)$-semigroup $(G, [\ \ ], \underset{1}{\oplus}, \dots, \underset{n}{\oplus})$ onto some Menger $(2,n)$-semigroup $(\Phi, [\ \ ], \underset{1}{\oplus}, \dots, \underset{n}{\oplus})$ of n-place functions, i.e. a bijection between G and Φ such that the equations

$$\begin{aligned} &P([x, y_1, \dots, y_n]) = [P(x), P(y_1), \dots, P(y_n)], \\ &P(x \underset{i}{\oplus} y) = P(x) \underset{i}{\oplus} P(y) \end{aligned}$$

are valid for all $x, y, y_1, \dots, y_n \in G$ and $i = 1, \dots, n$, will be called a *representation of* $(G, [\ \ ], \underset{1}{\oplus}, \dots, \underset{n}{\oplus})$ *by* n*-place functions.* If P is an isomorphism, then we say that P is a *faithful representation.*

Definition 1. A Menger $(2,n)$-semigroup $(G, [\ \ ], \underset{1}{\oplus}, \dots, \underset{n}{\oplus})$ is called *unitary* if it contains *selectors*, i.e. elements $e_1, \dots, e_n \in G$ such that

$$[x, e_1^n] = x, \tag{5}$$

$$[e_i, x_1^n] = x_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \tag{6}$$

$$[x, e_1^{i-1}, y, e_{i+1}^n] = x \underset{i}{\oplus} y, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \tag{7}$$

for all $x, x_1, \dots, x_n, y \in G$.

Theorem 1. *Any unitary Menger* $(2,n)$*-semigroup is isomorphic to some set of full* n*-place functions containing projectors* $I_1,\ldots,I_n$*.*

Proof. Let $(G,[\ \ ],\underset{1}{\oplus},\ldots,\underset{n}{\oplus})$ be an unitary Menger $(2,n)$-semigroup with selectors $e_1,\ldots,e_n$. Consider the set Φ of n-ary projections $I_1,\ldots,I_n$ and functions λ_g defined on G by the formula:

$$\lambda_g(x_1^n)=[g,x_1^n]\,. \tag{8}$$

We prove that $P:G\to\Phi$ such that $P(g)=\lambda_g$ is an isomorphism.

Indeed, for all $g,g_1,\ldots,g_n\in G$ and $x_1,\ldots,x_n\in G$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned}&\lambda_{[g,g_1^n]}(x_1^n)\overset{(8)}{=}[[g,g_1^n],x_1^n]\overset{(4)}{=}[g,[g_1,x_1^n],\ldots,[g_n,x_1^n]]=\\&\overset{(8)}{=}\lambda_g\Big([g_1,x_1^n],\ldots,[g_n,x_1^n]\Big)\overset{(8)}{=}\lambda_g\Big(\lambda_{g_1}(x_1^n),\ldots,\lambda_{g_n}(x_1^n)\Big)=\\&\overset{(1)}{=}[\lambda_g,\lambda_{g_1},\ldots,\lambda_{g_n}](x_1^n),\end{aligned}$$

which implies $\lambda_{[g,g_1^n]}=[\lambda_g,\lambda_{g_1},\ldots,\lambda_{g_n}]$. This proves that $P([g,g_1^n])=[P(g),P(g_1),\ldots,P(g_n)]$.

Similarly, for $g_1,g_2\in G$, $x_1,\ldots,x_n\in G$ and $i=1,\ldots,n$ we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}&\lambda_{g_1\underset{i}{\oplus}g_2}(x_1^n)\overset{(8)}{=}[g_1\underset{i}{\oplus}g_2,x_1^n]\overset{(7)}{=}[[g_1,e_1^{i-1},g_2,e_{i+1}^n],x_1^n]=\\&\overset{(3)}{=}[g_1,[e_1,x_1^n],\ldots,[e_{i-1},x_1^n],[g_2,x_1^n],[e_{i+1},x_1^n],\ldots,[e_n,x_1^n]]=\\&\overset{(6)}{=}[g_1,x_1^{i-1},[g_2,x_1^n],x_{i+1}^n]\overset{(8)}{=}\lambda_{g_1}\Big(x_1^{i-1},\lambda_{g_2}(x_1^n),x_{i+1}^n\Big)=\\&\overset{(2)}{=}\lambda_{g_1}\underset{i}{\oplus}\lambda_{g_2}(x_1^n).\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\lambda_{g_1\underset{i}{\oplus}g_2}=\lambda_{g_1}\underset{i}{\oplus}\lambda_{g_2}$, i.e. $P(g_1\underset{i}{\oplus}g_2)=P(g_1)\underset{i}{\oplus}P(g_2)$.

Moreover, $P(e_i)=I_i$ for $i=1,\ldots,n$, where $e_1,\ldots,e_n$ are selector on G. Indeed,

$$\lambda_{e_i}(x_1^n)\overset{(8)}{=}[e_i,x_1^n]\overset{(6)}{=}x_i=I_i(x_1^n)$$

for all $x_1,\ldots,x_n\in G$. Hence $P(e_i)=\lambda_{e_i}=I_i$, i.e. λ_{e_i} is the i-th projector on G.

Finally, if $\lambda_{g_1}=\lambda_{g_2}$, then $\lambda_{g_1}(e_1^n)=\lambda_{g_1}(e_1^n)$, consequently $[g_1,e_1^n]=[g_2,e_1^n]$, which (by (5)) gives $g_1=g_2$. Hence $P:G\to\Phi$ is an isomorphism. □

In the sequel, projectors of a Menger $(2,n)$-semigroup of n-ary operations will be identified with selectors. For a Menger $(2,n)$-semigroup of n-place functions such identification is impossible because

$$[I_i, g_1, \ldots, g_n] = g_i \circ \Delta_{\mathrm{pr}_1 g_1 \cap \ldots \cap \mathrm{pr}_1 g_n}$$

where $\mathrm{pr}_1 f$ is the domain of a function f and $\Delta_H = \{(h,h) \,|\, h \in H\}$.

Let $(G, [\;\;], \underset{1}{\oplus}, \ldots, \underset{n}{\oplus})$ be a fixed Menger $(2,n)$-semigroup. The symbol $\mu_i(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} x_1^s)$, where $x_1, \ldots, x_s \in G$ and $\underset{i_1}{\oplus}, \ldots, \underset{i_s}{\oplus}$ are binary operations defined on G, denotes an element $x_{i_k} \overset{i_s}{\underset{i_{k+1}}{\oplus}} x_{k+1}^s$ if $i = i_k$ and $i \neq i_p$ for all $p < k \leqslant s$. If $i \neq i_p$ for all $i_p \in \{i_1, \ldots, i_s\}$ this symbol is empty. For example, $\mu_1(\underset{2}{\oplus} x \underset{1}{\oplus} y \underset{3}{\oplus} z) = y \underset{3}{\oplus} z$, $\mu_2(\underset{2}{\oplus} x \underset{1}{\oplus} y \underset{3}{\oplus} z) = x \underset{1}{\oplus} y \underset{3}{\oplus} z$, $\mu_3(\underset{2}{\oplus} x \underset{1}{\oplus} y \underset{3}{\oplus} z) = z$. The symbol $\mu_4(\underset{2}{\oplus} x \underset{1}{\oplus} y \underset{3}{\oplus} z)$ is empty.

Theorem 2. *A Menger $(2,n)$-semigroup $\mathcal{G} = (G, [\;\;], \underset{1}{\oplus}, \ldots, \underset{n}{\oplus})$ is isomorphic to a Menger $(2,n)$-semigroup of n-place functions if and only if it satisfies the following three identities*

$$[x \underset{i}{\oplus} y, z_1^n] = [x, z_1^{i-1}, [y, z_1^n], z_{i+1}^n]\,, \tag{9}$$

$$[x, y_1^n] \underset{i}{\oplus} z = [x, y_1 \underset{i}{\oplus} z, \ldots, y_n \underset{i}{\oplus} z]\,, \tag{10}$$

$$x \overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s = [x, \mu_1(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s), \ldots, \mu_n(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s)]\,, \tag{11}$$

where $\{i_1, \ldots, i_s\} = \{1, \ldots, n\}$, and one implication

$$\bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} \left(\mu_i(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} x_1^s) = \mu_i(\overset{j_k}{\underset{j_1}{\oplus}} y_1^k) \right) \Longrightarrow g \overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} x_1^s = g \overset{j_k}{\underset{j_1}{\oplus}} y_1^k \tag{12}$$

for all $g, x_1, \ldots, x_s, y_1, \ldots, y_k \in G$.

Proof. The presented proof is a modification of the proof of Theorem 3 in [12], where is given the similar characterization by n-ary operations.

We limit ourselves with the proof of sufficiency. Let all the conditions of the theorem be satisfied by $\mathcal{G} = (G, [\;\;], \underset{1}{\oplus}, \ldots, \underset{n}{\oplus})$.

Consider the set $G^* = G \cup \{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$, where $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ are different elements not belonging to G. For all $x_1, \ldots, x_s \in G$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, and operations $\underset{i_1}{\oplus},\ldots,\underset{i_s}{\oplus}$ defined on G by $\mu_i^*(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}}x_1^s)$ we denote an element of G^* such that:

$$\mu_i^*(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}}x_1^s)=\begin{cases} e_i, & \text{if the symbol } \mu_i(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}}x_1^s) \text{ is empty,} \\ \mu_i(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}}x_1^s) & \text{if the symbol } \mu_i(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}}x_1^s) \text{ is not empty}\,. \end{cases} \tag{13}$$

Next, for every $g\in G$, we define on G^* an n-place function λ_g^* putting

$$\lambda_g^*(x_1^n)=\begin{cases} [g,x_1^n], & \text{if } \ x_i\in G,\ i=1,\ldots,n, \\ g, & \text{if } \ x_i=e_i,\ i=1,\ldots,n, \\ g\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}}y_1^s, & \text{if } \ x_i=\mu_i^*(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}}y_1^s),\ i=1,\ldots,n, \text{ where } y_1,\ldots,y_s\in G\,. \end{cases}$$

In the other cases λ_g^* is not defined.

Now, similarly as in [12], it is easy to check that $P: g\mapsto\lambda_g^*$ is a faithful representation of $\mathcal{G}$. □

Corollary 1. *In any unitary Menger* $(2,n)$*-semigroup* $(G,[\ \ ],\underset{1}{\oplus},\ldots,\underset{n}{\oplus})$ *we have*

$$x\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}}y_1^s=[x,\mu_1^*(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}}y_1^s),\ldots,\mu_n^*(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}}y_1^s)] \tag{14}$$

for all $x,y_1,\ldots,y_s\in G$ *and* $i_1,\ldots,i_s\in\{1,\ldots,n\}$.

Proof. Let $\{j_1,\ldots,j_k\}=\{1,\ldots,n\}\setminus\{i_1,\ldots,i_s\}$. According to the Definition 1, in a Menger $(2,n)$-semigroup containing selectors $e_1,\ldots,e_n$ we have $x\underset{i}{\oplus}e_i=x$ for all $x\in G$ and $i=1,\ldots,n$. Thus

$$\mu_i^*(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}}y_1^s)=\mu_i^*((\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}}y_1^s)\underset{j_1}{\oplus}e_{j_1}\underset{j_2}{\oplus}\cdots\underset{j_k}{\oplus}e_{j_k}),$$

for $i=1,\ldots,n$. This implies (11). Applying (13) we obtain (14). □

Theorem 3. *Any Menger* $(2,n)$*-semigroup of* n*-place functions is isomorphic to some Menger* $(2,n)$*-semigroup of full* n*-place functions.*

Proof. Let $\mathbf{F}=(\Phi,[\ \ ],\underset{1}{\oplus},\ldots,\underset{n}{\oplus})$, where $\Phi\subset\mathcal{F}(A^n,A)$, be a Menger $(2,n)$-semigroup of n-place functions. For every $f\in\Phi$ we define on $A_0=A\cup\{c\}$, where $c\notin A$, a full n-place function f^0 putting

$$f^0(x_1^n)=\begin{cases} f(x_1^n), & \text{if } \ x_1^n\in\operatorname{pr}_1 f, \\ c, & \text{if } \ x_1^n\notin\operatorname{pr}_1 f. \end{cases} \tag{15}$$

If $x_1^n \in \mathrm{pr}_1\,[f, g_1^n]$, where $f, g_1, \ldots, g_n \in \Phi$, then $x_1^n \in \mathrm{pr}_1\, g_i$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$ consequently $(g_1(x_1^n), \ldots, g_n(x_1^n)) \in \mathrm{pr}_1\, f$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}[f, g_1^n]^0(x_1^n) &= [f, g_1^n](x_1^n) = f(g_1(x_1^n), \ldots, g_n(x_1^n)) \\ &= f^0(g_1(x_1^n), \ldots, g_n(x_1^n)) = f^0(g_1^0(x_1^n), \ldots, g_n^0(x_1^n)) \\ &= [f^0, g_1^0, \ldots, g_n^0](x_1^n),\end{aligned}$$

which gives $[f, g_1^n]^0(x_1^n) = [f^0, g_1^0, \ldots, g_n^0](x_1^n)$ for $x_1^n \in \mathrm{pr}_1\,[f, g_1^n]$.

Now if $x_1^n \notin \mathrm{pr}_1\,[f, g_1^n]$, then $[f, g_1^n]^0(x_1^n) = c$. But $x_1^n \notin \mathrm{pr}_1\,[f, g_1^n]$ implies either $x_1^n \notin \mathrm{pr}_1\, g_i$ (for some $i = 1, \ldots, n$) or $(g_1(x_1^n), \ldots, g_n(x_1^n)) \notin \mathrm{pr}_1\, f$. In the first case $g_i^0(x_1^n) = c$, which gives $f^0(g_1^0(x_1^n), \ldots, g_n^0(x_1^n)) = c$. In the second (according to the definition of f^0) we have $f^0(g_1(x_1^n), \ldots, g_n(x_1^n)) = c$, which implies $f^0(g_1^0(x_1^n), \ldots, g_n^0(x_1^n)) = c$, i.e. $[f^0, g_1^0, \ldots, g_n^0](x_1^n) = c$. This means that $[f, g_1^n]^0(x_1^n) = [f^0, g_1^0, \ldots, g_n^0](x_1^n)$ holds also for $x_1^n \notin \mathrm{pr}_1\,[f, g_1^n]$. Hence $[f, g_1^n]^0 = [f^0, g_1^0, \ldots, g_n^0]$ is satisfied for all $f, g_1, \ldots, g_n \in \Phi$.

The similar argumentation proves $(f \underset{i}{\oplus} g)^0 = f^0 \underset{i}{\oplus} g^0$ for all $f, g \in \Phi$ and $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

This means that the map $P : f \mapsto f^0$ is a homomorphism of $\mathbf{F}$ onto $(\Phi_0, [\ \ ], \underset{1}{\oplus}, \ldots, \underset{n}{\oplus})$, where $\Phi_0 = \{f^0 \mid f \in \Phi\}$. In fact, as it is not difficult to see, P is an isomorphism. This completes the proof. □

As a simple consequence of our Theorems 2 and 3 we obtain the main result of [12], which shows that a Menger $(2, n)$-semigroup satisfying (9), (10), (11) and (12) is isomorphic to some Menger $(2, n)$-semigroup of full n-place functions.

Definition 2. A unitary Menger $(2, n)$-semigroup $\mathcal{G}^* = (G^*, [\ \ ], \underset{1}{\oplus}, \ldots, \underset{n}{\oplus})$ containing selectors $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ is called a *unitary extension* of a Menger $(2, n)$-semigroup $\mathcal{G} = (G, [\ \ ], \underset{1}{\oplus}, \ldots, \underset{n}{\oplus})$ if:

(*a*) $G \subset G^*$,
(*b*) $G \cap \{e_1, \ldots, e_n\} = \emptyset$,
(*c*) $G \cup \{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ is the generating set of $\mathcal{G}^*$.

In a unitary extension of a Menger $(2, n)$-semigroup of n-ary operations selectors are replaced by projectors.

Theorem 4. *Any Menger $(2, n)$-semigroup satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 2 can be isomorphically embedded into a unitary extension of some Menger $(2, n)$-semigroup of full n-place functions.*

Proof. If a Menger $(2,n)$-semigroup $(G,[\ \ ],\underset{1}{\oplus},\ldots,\underset{n}{\oplus})$ is isomorphic to a Menger $(2,n)$-semigroup $(\Phi,[\ \ ],\underset{1}{\oplus},\ldots,\underset{n}{\oplus})$ of n-place functions defined on A, then, by Theorem 3, it is isomorphic to a Menger $(2,n)$-semigroup $(\Phi_0,[\ \ ],\underset{1}{\oplus},\ldots,\underset{n}{\oplus})$ of full n-place functions defined on A_0 (see the proof of Theorem 3).

Let $I_1,\ldots,I_n$ be n-ary projectors defined on A_0. Consider the family $(F_k(\Phi_0))_{k=0,1,\ldots}$ of sets $F_k(\Phi_0)$ such that:

1. $F_0(\Phi_0)=\Phi_0\cup\{I_1,\ldots,I_n\}$,
2. $f,g_1,\ldots,g_n\in F_k(\Phi_0)\implies [f,g_1^n]\in F_{k+1}(\Phi_0)$,
3. $f,g\in F_k(\Phi_0)\implies f\underset{i}{\oplus}g\in F_{k+1}(\Phi_0)$.

It is clear that $\{I_1,\ldots,I_n\}\cap\Phi_0=\emptyset$ and $\{I_1,\ldots,I_n\}\subset F_k(\Phi_0)$ for every $k=0,1,\ldots$ Moreover, if $f\in F_k(\Phi_0)$, then $f=[f,I_1,\ldots,I_n]\in F_{k+1}(\Phi_0)$ (by 2). Thus $F_k(\Phi_0)\subset F_{k+1}(\Phi_0)$ for every $k=0,1,\ldots$

Let $\Phi^*=\bigcup\limits_{k=0}^{\infty}F_k(\Phi_0)$. For all $f,g_1,\ldots,g_n\in\Phi^*$, there are $m_0,m_1,\ldots,m_n$ such that $f\in F_{m_0}(\Phi_0)$, $g_i\in F_{m_i}(\Phi_0)$. Thus $f,g_1,\ldots,g_n\in F_k(\Phi_0)$ for $k=\max\{m_0,m_1,\ldots,m_n\}$, consequently $[f,g_1^n]\in F_{k+1}(\Phi_0)\subset\Phi^*$. This proves that Φ^* is closed with respect to the operation $[\ \ ]$.

Analogously we can verify that Φ^* is closed with respect to $\underset{1}{\oplus},\ldots,\underset{n}{\oplus}$. Hence $(\Phi^*,[\ \ ],\underset{1}{\oplus},\ldots,\underset{n}{\oplus})$ is a unitary Menger $(2,n)$-semigroup generated by $\Phi_0\cup\{I_1,\ldots,I_n\}$. By (15) we have also $\Phi_0\cap\{I_1,\ldots,I_n\}=\emptyset$. Therefore $(\Phi^*,[\ \ ],\underset{1}{\oplus},\ldots,\underset{n}{\oplus})$ is a unitary extension of $(\Phi_0,[\ \ ],\underset{1}{\oplus},\ldots,\underset{n}{\oplus})$. $\square$

3. Let $\mathcal{G}=(G,[\ \ ],\underset{1}{\oplus},\ldots,\underset{n}{\oplus})$ be a Menger $(2,n)$-semigroup. A binary relation $\rho\subset G\times G$ is called

- *v-regular*, if

$$(x,y),(x_1,y_1),\ldots,(x_n,y_n)\in\rho\Longrightarrow\begin{cases}([g,x_1^n],[g,y_1^n])\in\rho,\\(g\underset{i}{\oplus}x,g\underset{i}{\oplus}y)\in\rho\end{cases}$$

  for all $g,x,y,x_i,y_i\in G$, $i=1,\ldots,n$,

- *l-regular*, if

$$(x,y)\in\rho\Longrightarrow\begin{cases}([x,z_1^n],[y,z_1^n])\in\rho,\\(x\underset{i}{\oplus}z,y\underset{i}{\oplus}z)\in\rho\end{cases}$$

for all $x, y, z, z_i \in G$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$,

- *stable*, if

$$(x, y), (x_1, y_1), \ldots, (x_n, y_n) \in \rho \Longrightarrow \begin{cases} ([x, x_1^n], [y, y_1^n]) \in \rho, \\ (x_1 \underset{i}{\oplus} x_2, y_1 \underset{i}{\oplus} y_2) \in \rho \end{cases}$$

for all $x, y, x_i, y_i \in G$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

Note that a reflexive and transitive relation ρ is stable if and only if it is v-regular and l-regular.

A subset W of G is called an *l-ideal* of $\mathcal{G}$ if $[g, w_1^{i-1}, x, w_{i+1}^n] \in W$ and $g \underset{i}{\oplus} x \in W$ for all $g, w_1, \ldots, w_n \in G$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$ and $x \in W$.

Definition 3. By a *determining pair* of a Menger $(2, n)$-semigroup $\mathcal{G}$ we mean an ordered pair $(\mathcal{E}, W)$, where $\mathcal{E}$ is a symmetric and transitive binary relation defined on a unitary extension $\mathcal{G}^*$ of $\mathcal{G}$ and W is a subset of G^* such that:

(i) $G \cup \{e_1, \ldots, e_n\} \subset \mathrm{pr}_1\, \mathcal{E}$,

(ii) $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\} \cap W = \emptyset$,

(iii) $[g, \mathcal{E}\langle e_1\rangle, \ldots, \mathcal{E}\langle e_n\rangle] \subset \mathcal{E}\langle g\rangle$ for all $g \in G$, where $\mathcal{E}\langle g\rangle$ denotes the $\mathcal{E}$-class containing g,

(iv) $[g, \mathcal{E}\langle \mu_1^*(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s)\rangle, \ldots, \mathcal{E}\langle \mu_n^*(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s)\rangle] \subset \mathcal{E}\langle g \overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s\rangle$ for all $y_1, \ldots, y_s \in G$, $i_1, \ldots, i_s \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$,

(v) $\mathcal{E} \cap (\mathcal{E}(G) \times \mathcal{E}(G))$ is v-regular in $\mathcal{G}^*$,

(vi) if $W \neq \emptyset$, then W is an $\mathcal{E}$-class and $W \cap G$ is an l-ideal of $\mathcal{G}$.

Let $(H_a)_{a \in A}$ be a collection of $\mathcal{E}$-classes (uniquely indexed by elements of A) such that $H_a \neq W$ and $H_a \cap (G \cup \{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}) \neq \emptyset$ for all $a \in A$. Using this collection we define the set $\mathfrak{A} \subset A^n$ in the following way:

(a) if $g_i \in G$ and $H_{a_i} = \mathcal{E}\langle g_i\rangle$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, then $a_1^n \in \mathfrak{A}$,

(b) if $H_{a_i} = \mathcal{E}\langle e_i\rangle$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, then $a_1^n \in \mathfrak{A}$,

(c) if $H_{a_i} = \mathcal{E}\langle \mu^*(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s)\rangle$ for $\leqslant i \leqslant n$ and some $y_1^s \in G$, then $a_1^n \in \mathfrak{A}$,

(*d*) $a_1^n \in \mathfrak{A}$ if and only if a_1^n is determined by (*a*), (*b*) or (*c*).

Next, for any $g \in G$ we define on A an $(n+1)$-ary relation $P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}(g)$ putting:

$$(a_1^n, b) \in P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}(g) \iff a_1^n \in \mathfrak{A} \ \wedge \ [g, H_{a_1}, \ldots, H_{a_n}] \subset H_b\,. \tag{16}$$

Remark. If $a_1^n \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $[g, H_{a_1}, \ldots, H_{a_n}] \subset H_b$, then $H_b \cap G \neq \emptyset$. Indeed, if $H_{a_i} = \mathcal{E}\langle g_i \rangle$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, where $g_i \in G$, then $[g, g_1^n] \in H_b \cap G$. Thus $H_b \cap G \neq \emptyset$. If $H_{a_i} = \mathcal{E}\langle e_i \rangle$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, then $[g, e_1^n] \in H_b$ and $[g, e_1^n] = g \in G$. Therefore $H_b \cap G \neq \emptyset$. Now if $H_{a_i} = \mathcal{E}\langle \mu_i^*(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s)\rangle$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ and some $y_1^s \in G$, then $[g, \mu_1^*(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s), \ldots, \mu_n^*(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s)] \in H_b$. But $[g, \mu_1^*(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s), \ldots, \mu_n^*(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s)] \overset{(14)}{=} g \overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s \in G$, which also gives $H_b \cap G \neq \emptyset$. $\square$

According to the definition of $P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}(g)$

$$(a_1^n, b), (a_1^n, c) \in P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}(g) \Longrightarrow b = c.$$

So $P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}(g)$ is an n-place function such that

$$a_1^n \in \mathrm{pr}_1\, P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}(g) \iff a_1^n \in \mathfrak{A} \ \wedge \ [g, H_{a_1}, \ldots, H_{a_n}] \cap W = \emptyset. \tag{17}$$

Proposition 1. *If* $(\mathcal{E}, W)$ *is a determining pair of a Menger* $(2,n)$*-semigroup* $(G, [\ \ ], \underset{1}{\oplus}, \ldots, \underset{n}{\oplus})$ *satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 2, then*

$$P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}([g, g_1^n]) = [P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}(g), P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}(g_1), \ldots, P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}(g_n)]\,, \tag{18}$$

$$P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}(g_1 \underset{i}{\oplus} g_2) = P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}(g_1) \underset{i}{\oplus} P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}(g_2) \tag{19}$$

for all $g, g_1, \ldots, g_n \in G$ *and* $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

Proof. We prove only (18). The proof of (19) is analogous.

Let $g, g_1, \ldots, g_n \in G$. If $(a_1^n, c) \in [P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}(g), P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}(g_1), \ldots, P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}(g_n)]$, then there are $b_1, \ldots, b_n \in A$ such that

$$(a_1^n, b_i) \in P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}(g_i) \quad \text{and} \quad (b_1^n, c) \in P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}(g)$$

for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. This, by (16), is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} &a_1^n \in \mathfrak{A} \ \wedge \ [g_i, H_{a_1}, \ldots, H_{a_n}] \subset H_{b_i}, \ \ i = 1, \ldots, n, \\ &b_1^n \in \mathfrak{A} \ \wedge \ [g, H_{b_1}, \ldots, H_{b_n}] \subset H_c\,. \end{aligned}$$

Let $h_i \in H_{b_i}$, $p_i \in H_{a_i}$, where $i = 1, \ldots, n$. The following three case are possible: 1) all p_i are in G; 2) $p_i = e_i$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$; 3) $p_i = \mu_i^*(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s)$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

1) If all p_i are in G, then $[g, h_1^n] \in H_c$ and $[g_i, p_1^n] \in H_{b_i} \cap G$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Since $\big(h_i, [g_1, p_1^n]\big) \in \mathcal{E}$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$, the v-regularity of $\mathcal{E}$ implies $\big([g, h_1^n], [g, [g_1, p_1^n], \ldots, [g_n, p_1^n]]\big) \in \mathcal{E}$, which gives $\big([g, h_1^n], [[g, g_1^n], p_1^n]\big) \in \mathcal{E}$. Hence $[[g, g_1^n], p_1^n] \in H_c$ consequently $[[g, g_1^n], H_{a_1}, \ldots, H_{a_n}] \subset H_c$.

2) If $p_1 = e_1, \ldots, p_n = e_n$, then $[g, h_1^n] \in H_c$, $g_i \in H_{b_i}$ and $\big(h_i, g_i\big) \in \mathcal{E}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Thus $\big([g, h_1^n], [g, g_1^n]\big) \in \mathcal{E}$, which implies $[g, g_1^n] \in H_c$. Hence $[[g, g_1^n], H_{a_1}, \ldots, H_{a_n}] \subset H_c$.

3) If $p_i = \mu_i^*(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s)$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$ and some $y_1^s \in G$, then $[g, h_1^n] \in H_c$ and $[g_i, p_1^n] \overset{(14)}{=} g_i \overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s \in H_{b_i}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Thus $\big([g, h_1^n], [g, g_1 \overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s, \ldots, g_n \overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s]\big) \in \mathcal{E}$, which, after application of (10), proves $\big([g, h_1^n], [g, g_1^n] \overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s\big) \in \mathcal{E}$, i.e. $\big([g, h_1^n], [[g, g_1^n], p_1^n]\big) \in \mathcal{E}$. Therefore, as in the previous cases, $[[g, g_1^n], H_{a_1}, \ldots, H_{a_n}] \subset H_c$.

From the above considerations we obtain

$$a_1^n \in \mathfrak{A} \ \wedge \ [[g, g_1^n], H_{a_1}, \ldots, H_{a_n}] \subset H_c \, .$$

Hence $(a_1^n, c) \in P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}([g, g_1^n])$. This completes the proof of the inclusion

$$[P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}(g), P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}(g_1), \ldots, P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}(g_n)] \subset P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}([g, g_1^n]) \, .$$

To prove the converse inclusion consider an arbitrary element (a_1^n, c) from $P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}([g, g_1^n])$. Then $a_1^n \in G$ and $[[g, g_1^n], H_{a_1}, \ldots, H_{a_n}] \subset H_c$.

1) If $H_{a_i} = \mathcal{E}\langle h_i \rangle$ and $h_i \in G$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$, then $[[g, g_1^n], h_1^n] \in H_c$, which, by (4), implies $[g, [g_1, h_1^n], \ldots, [g_n, h_1^n]] \in H_c$. Obviously $[g_i, h_1^n] \in G$ for all i. Moreover $H_{b_i} = \mathcal{E}\langle [g_i, h_1^n] \rangle$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$ gives $b_1^n \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $[g, H_{b_1}, \ldots, H_{b_n}] \subset H_c$. It is clear that $a_1^n \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $[g_i, H_{a_1}, \ldots, H_{a_n}] \subset H_{b_i}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Thus $(a_1^n, b_i) \in P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}(g_i)$, $i = 1, \ldots n$ and $(b_1^n, c) \in P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}(g)$. Hence $(a_1^n, c) \in [P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}(g), P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}(g_1), \ldots, P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}(g_n)]$.

2) If $H_{a_i} = \mathcal{E}\langle e_i \rangle$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$, then $[g, g_1^n] \in H_c$. For $g_i \in H_{b_i}$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$ we have $[g_i, e_1^n] \in H_{b_i}$ and $[g_i, H_{a_1}, \ldots, H_{a_n}] \subset H_{b_i}$. But $b_1^n \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $[g, H_{b_1}, \ldots, H_{b_n}] \subset H_c$. Thus $(a_1^n, b_i) \in P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}(g_i)$ and $(b_1^n, c) \in P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}(g)$. Therefore $(a_1^n, c) \in [P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}(g), P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}(g_1), \ldots, P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}(g_n)]$.

3) If $H_{a_i} = \mathcal{E}\langle\mu_i^*(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s)\rangle$ for all $i = 1,\ldots,n$ and some $y_1^s \in G$, then $[[g,g_1^n],\mu_1^*(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s),\ldots,\mu_n^*(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s)] \in H_c$, which, by (14), is equivalent to $[g,g_1^n]\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s \in H_c$. This, by (10), implies $[g,g_1\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s,\ldots,g_n\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s] \in H_c$, where $g_i\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s \in G$. But for $H_{b_i} = \mathcal{E}\langle g_i\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s\rangle$, $i = 1,\ldots,n$, we have $b_1^n \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $[g,H_{b_1},\ldots,H_{b_n}] \subset H_c$. Because $g_i\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s = [g_i,\mu_1^*(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s),\ldots,\mu_n^*(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s)]$, by (14), then $[g_i,H_{a_1},\ldots,H_{a_n}] \subset H_{b_i}$ for $i = 1,\ldots,n$. Hence $(a_1^n,b_i) \in P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}(g_i)$, $i = 1,\ldots,n$, $(b_1^n,c) \in P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}(g)$ consequently $(a_1^n,c) \in [P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}(g),P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}(g_1),\ldots,P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}(g_n)]$.

This completes the proof the inclusion

$$P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}([g,g_1^n]) \subset [P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}(g),P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}(g_1),\ldots,P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}(g_n)]$$

and the proof of (18).

In a similar way we prove (19). □

The mapping $P_{(\mathcal{E},W)} : g \mapsto P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}(g)$, where $g \in G$, is, by Proposition 1, a representation of a Menger $(2,n)$-semigroup $(G,[\ \ ],\underset{1}{\oplus},\ldots,\underset{n}{\oplus})$ by n-place functions. This representation will be called *simplest* (cf. [11]).

Let $(P_i)_{i\in I}$ be the family of representations of a Menger $(2,n)$-semigroup $(G,[\ \ ],\underset{1}{\oplus},\ldots,\underset{n}{\oplus})$ by n-place functions defined on sets $(A_i)_{i\in I}$, respectively. By the *union* of this family we mean the map $P : g \mapsto P(g)$, where $g \in G$, and $P(g)$ is an n-place function on $A = \bigcup\limits_{i\in I} A_i$ defined by

$$P(g) = \bigcup_{i\in I} P_i(g)\,.$$

If $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ for all $i,j \in I$, $i \neq j$, then P is called the *sum* of $(P_i)_{i\in I}$ and is denoted by $P = \sum_{i\in I} P_i$. It is not difficult to see that the sum of representations is a representation, but the union of representations may not be a representation.

Theorem 5. *Any representation of a Menger $(2,n)$-semigroup $\mathcal{G}$ by n-place functions is a union on some family of simplest representations of $\mathcal{G}$.*

Proof. Let P be a representation of a Menger $(2,n)$-semigroup $\mathcal{G} = (G,[\ \ ],\underset{1}{\oplus},\ldots,\underset{n}{\oplus})$ by n-place functions defined on A, and let $\alpha \notin A$ be some fixed element. For every $g \in G$ we define on $A^* = A \cup \{\alpha\}$ an n-place function $P^*(g)$ putting:

$$P^*(g)(a_1^n) = \begin{cases} P(g)(a_1^n), & \text{if } a_1^n \in \mathrm{pr}_1\, P(g), \\ \quad \alpha, & \text{if } a_1^n \notin \mathrm{pr}_1\, P(g). \end{cases}$$

It is not difficult to see that P^* is a representation of $\mathcal{G}$ by n-place functions defined on A^*, and $P(g) \mapsto P^*(g)$, where $g \in G$, is an isomorphism of $(P(G), [\ \ ], \underset{1}{\oplus}, \ldots, \underset{n}{\oplus})$ onto $(P^*(G), [\ \ ], \underset{1}{\oplus}, \ldots, \underset{n}{\oplus})$. Because $G \cup \{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ is a generating set of a unitary extension $\mathcal{G}^* = (G^*, [\ \ ], \underset{1}{\oplus}, \ldots, \underset{n}{\oplus})$ with selectors $e_1, \ldots, e_n$, then putting $P^*(e_i) = I_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, where I_i is the i-th n-place projector of A^*, we obtain a unique extension of P^* from $\mathcal{G}$ to $\mathcal{G}^*$.

For any $a_1^n \in A^n$ we define on G^* a relation $\Theta_{a_1^n}$ such that

$$\big(x, y\big) \in \Theta_{a_1^n} \Longleftrightarrow P^*(x)(a_1^n) = P^*(y)(a_1^n).$$

It is easily to verify that it is a v-regular equivalence relation such that his abstract classes have the form $H_b^{a_1^n} = \{x \in G^* \,|\, (a_1^n, b) \in P^*(x)\}$. The pair $(\mathcal{E}_{a_1^n}, W_{a_1^n})$, where

$$\mathcal{E}_{a_1^n} = \Theta_{a_1^n} \cap \big(\Theta_{a_1^n}(G \cup \{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}) \times \Theta_{a_1^n}(G \cup \{e_1, \ldots, e_n\})\big)\,,$$

$$W_{a_1^n} = \{x \in G^* \,|\, P^*(x)(a_1^n) = \alpha\}.$$

is a determining pair of $\mathcal{G}$.

We prove that

$$P(g) = \bigcup_{a_1^n \in A^n} P_{a_1^n}(g)$$

for every $g \in G$, where $(P_{a_1^n})_{a_1^n \in A^n}$ is the family of simplest representations $P_{a_1^n}$ induced by a determining pair $(\mathcal{E}_{a_1^n}, W_{a_1^n})$.

Let $(b_1^n, c) \in P(g)$. Then $g \in H_c^{b_1^n}$, $[g, e_1^n] = g$ and $e_i \in H_{b_i}^{b_1^n}$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$. The v-regularity of $\Theta_{a_1^n}$ implies $b_1^n \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $[g, H_{b_1}^{b_1^n}, \ldots, H_{b_n}^{b_1^n}] \subset H_c^{b_1^n}$. Hence

$$(b_1^n, c) \in P_{b_1^n}(g) \subset \bigcup_{a_1^n \in A^n} P_{a_1^n}(g)\,.$$

So

$$P(g) \subset \bigcup_{a_1^n \in A^n} P_{a_1^n}(g)\,.$$

To prove the converse inclusion let $(b_1^n, c) \in P_{a_1^n}(g)$ for some $a_1^n \in A^n$. Then

$$b_1^n \in \mathfrak{A} \ \wedge\ [g, H_{b_1}^{a_1^n}, \ldots, H_{b_n}^{a_1^n}] \subset H_c^{a_1^n}.$$

1) If $h_i \in H_{b_i}^{a_1^n} \cap G$, then $[g, h_1^n] \in H_c^{a_1^n}$, consequently $(a_1^n, c) \in P([g, h_1^n]) = [P(g), P(h_1), \ldots, P(h_n)]$. Thus, for some $d_1^n \in A^n$ we have $(a_1^n, d_i) \in P(h_i)$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$ and $(d_1^n, c) \in P(g)$. But $(a_1^n, b_i) \in P(h_i)$ implies $b_i = d_i$. Hence $(b_1^n, c) \in P(g)$.

2) If $e_i \in H_{b_i}^{a_1^n}$, then $g = [g, e_1^n] \in H_c^{a_1^n}$, which gives $(a_1^n, c) \in P(g)$. But for $e_i \in H_{b_i}^{a_1^n}$, we have $(a_1^n, b_i) \in P(e_i) = P^*(e_i) = I_i$. Thus $b_i = I_i(a_1^n) = a_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Therefore $(b_1^n, c) \in P(g)$.

3) If $\mu_i^*(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s) \in H_{b_i}^{a_1^n}$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$ and some $y_1^s \in G$, then $[g, \mu_1^*(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s), \ldots, \mu_n^*(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s)] \in H_c^{a_1^n}$, which proves $g \overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s \in H_c^{a_1^n}$. Thus $(a_1^n, c) \in P(g \overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s) = [P(g), P(\mu_1^*(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s)), \ldots, P(\mu_n^*(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s))]$. But there are $d_1^n \in A^n$ such that $(a_1^n, d_i) \in P(\mu_i^*(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s))$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, $(d_1^n, c) \in P(g)$. This together with $(a_1^n, b_i) \in P(\mu_i^*(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s))$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, implies $d_i = b_i$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Therefore $(b_1^n, c) \in P(g)$.

Summarizing we see that in any case $P_{a_1^n}(g) \subset P(g)$. This proves inclusion $\bigcup\limits_{a_1^n \in A^n} P_{a_1^n}(g) \subset P(g)$ and completes the proof of Theorem 5. □

Note that for semigroups the analogous theorem has been proved by B. M. Schein in [10].

4. Let $\mathcal{G} = (G, [\ \ ], \underset{1}{\oplus}, \ldots, \underset{n}{\oplus})$ be a Menger $(2, n)$-semigroup, x – an individual variable. By $T(G)$ we denote the set of polynomials over $\mathcal{G}$ such that:

(*a*) $x \in T(G)$,

(*b*) if $t(x) \in T(G)$, $g, g_1, \ldots, g_n \in G$, then $[g, g_1^{i-1}, t(x), g_{i+1}^n] \in T(G)$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$,

(*c*) if $t(x) \in T(G)$, $g \in G$, then $g \underset{i}{\oplus} t(x) \in T(G)$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$,

(*d*) $T(G)$ contains only elements determined (a), (b) and (c).

For a nonempty subset H of a Menger $(2,n)$-semigroup $\mathcal{G}$ we define the relation $\mathcal{E}_H$ and the set W_H putting:

$$(x,y)\in\mathcal{E}_H \Longleftrightarrow \Big(\forall\, t\in T(G)\Big)\Big(t(x)\in H \longleftrightarrow t(y)\in H\Big), \tag{20}$$

$$x\in W_H \Longleftrightarrow \Big(\forall\, t\in T(G)\Big)\Big(t(x)\notin H\Big). \tag{21}$$

Proposition 2. *$\mathcal{E}_H$ is a v-regular equivalence relation on $\mathcal{G}$ and W_H is an $\mathcal{E}_H$-class which is an l-ideal or empty set.*

Proof. The fact that $\mathcal{E}_H$ is an equivalence relation is obvious. We prove that $\mathcal{E}_H$ is v-regular.

Let $(x_i,y_i)\in\mathcal{E}_H$ for all $i=1,\ldots,n$ and some $x_i,y_i\in G$. Then, by (20), we have

$$\Big(\forall\, t\in T(G)\Big)\Big(t(x_i)\in H \longleftrightarrow t(y_i)\in H\Big), \tag{22}$$

for $i=1,\ldots,n$. This, for all $u,w_1,\ldots,w_n\in G$ and polynomials of the form $t_1\left([u,w_1^{i-1},x,w_{i+1}^n]\right)$, where $t_1(x)\in T(G)$, gives

$$\Big(t_1\in T(G)\Big)\Big(t_1\big([u,w_1^{i-1},x_i,w_{i+1}^n]\big)\in H \longleftrightarrow t_1\big([u,w_1^{i-1},y_i,w_{i+1}^n]\big)\in H\Big).$$

Hence

$$\big([u,w_1^{i-1},x_i,w_{i+1}^n],[u,w_1^{i-1},y_i,w_{i+1}^n]\big)\in\mathcal{E}_H \tag{23}$$

for all $i=1,\ldots,n$. In particular, for $(x_1,y_1)\in\mathcal{E}_H$ we get

$$\big([u,x_1,x_2^n],[u,y_1,x_2^n]\big)\in\mathcal{E}_H\,. \tag{24}$$

Similarly, for $(x_2,y_2)\in\mathcal{E}_H$ we have

$$\big([u,y_1,x_2,x_3^n],[u,y_1^2,x_3^n]\big)\in\mathcal{E}_H\,. \tag{25}$$

Since $\mathcal{E}_H$ is transitive, (24) and (25) imply $\big([u,x_1^2,x_3^n],[u,y_1^2,x_3^n]\big)\in\mathcal{E}_H$. Continuing this procedure we obtain $\big([u,x_1^n],[u,y_1^n]\big)\in\mathcal{E}_H$.

Now let $(x,y)\in\mathcal{E}_H$, i.e. $\Big(\forall\, t\in T(G)\Big)\Big(t(x)\in H \longleftrightarrow t(y)\in H\Big)$. This, for $t(x)=t_1(u\underset{i}{\oplus}x)\in T(G)$, gives

$$\Big(\forall\ t_1\in T(G)\Big)\Big(t_1(u\underset{i}{\oplus}x)\in H \longleftrightarrow t(u\underset{i}{\oplus}y)\in H\Big).$$

Hence $\big(u\underset{i}{\oplus}x,u\underset{i}{\oplus}y\big)\in\mathcal{E}_H$, which completes the proof of the v-regularity of $\mathcal{E}_H$.

Assume that $W_H \neq \emptyset$. For $x, y \in W_H$, we get $\Big(\forall\, t \in T(G)\Big)\Big(t(x) \notin H\Big)$ and $\Big(\forall\, t \in T(G)\Big)\Big(t(y) \notin H\Big)$. Thus

$$\Big(\forall\, t \in T(G)\Big)\Big(t(x) \notin H \wedge t(y) \notin H\Big),$$

and, in the consequence, $\Big(\forall\, t \in T(G)\Big)\Big(t(x) \notin H \longleftrightarrow t(y) \notin H\Big)$. Therefore

$$\Big(\forall\, t \in T(G)\Big)\Big(t(x) \in H \longleftrightarrow t(y) \in H\Big),$$

i.e. $(x, y) \in \mathcal{E}_H$. If $x \in W_H$ and $(x, y) \in \mathcal{E}_H$, then, as it is easy to see, $y \in W_H$. This proves that W_H is an $\mathcal{E}_H$-class.

Now, according to the definition of W_H, for $x \in W_H$ we have

$$\Big(\forall\, t \in T(G)\Big)\Big(t(x) \notin H\Big).$$

Hence, for every $t(x) = t_1([u, w_1^{i-1}, x, w_{i+1}^n])$, $u, w_i \in G$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$

$$t_1\big([u, w_1^{i-1}, x, w_{i+1}^n]\big) \notin H.$$

So $[u, w_1^{i-1}, x, w_{i+1}^n] \in W_H$.

Analogously one can prove that $u \underset{i}{\oplus} x \in W_H$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Therefore, W_H is an l-ideal of $\mathcal{G}$. $\square$

Let P be a fixed representation of a Menger $(2, n)$-semigroup $\mathcal{G}$ by n-place functions. Consider the relation ζ_P defined on G by:

$$(g_1, g_2) \in \zeta_P \Longleftrightarrow P(g_1) \subset P(g_2)\,. \tag{26}$$

It is clear that ζ_P is a quasi-order. If P is a faithful representation, then ζ_P is an order, i.e. an anti-symmetric quasi-order. If P is a sum of the family $(P_i)_{i \in I}$ of representations of $\mathcal{G}$, then

$$\zeta_P = \bigcap_{i \in I} \zeta_{P_i}. \tag{27}$$

In the case when $P = P_{(\mathcal{E}, W)}$ is a simplest representation induced by a determining pair $(\mathcal{E}, W)$ (see (16) and Proposition 1) the quasi-order defined by (26) will be denoted by $\zeta_{(\mathcal{E}, W)}$.

Proposition 3. *Let $(\mathcal{E},W)$ be a determining pair of a Menger $(2,n)$-semigroup $\mathcal{G}$ satisfying the assumption of Theorem 2. Then $(g_1,g_2)\in\zeta_{(\mathcal{E},W)}$ if and only if*

$$\Big(\forall\, x_1^n\in B\Big)\Big([g_1,x_1^n]\not\in W\longrightarrow \big([g_1,x_1^n],[g_2,x_1^n]\big)\in\mathcal{E}\Big), \tag{28}$$

$$\Big(\forall\, y_1^s\in G\Big)\Big(g_1\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}}y_1^s\not\in W\longrightarrow \big(g_1\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}}y_1^s,\,g_2\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}}y_1^s\big)\in\mathcal{E}\Big) \tag{29}$$

for $i_1,\ldots,i_s\in\{1,\ldots,n\}$, $s\in\mathbb{N}$, $B=G^n\cup\{(e_1,\ldots,e_n)\}$.

Proof. If $(g_1,g_2)\in\zeta_{(\mathcal{E},W)}$, then $P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}(g_1)\subset P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}(g_2)$. Thus for all $a_1^n\in\mathfrak{A}$ we have

$$a_1^n\in\mathrm{pr}_1\,P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}(g_1)\longrightarrow P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}(g_1)(a_1^n)=P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}(g_2)(a_1^n),$$

which, by (16) and (17), is equivalent to

$$[g_1,H_{a_1},\ldots,H_{a_n}]\cap W=\emptyset\longrightarrow[g_1,H_{a_1},\ldots,H_{a_n}]\cup[g_2,H_{a_1},\ldots,H_{a_n}]\subset H_c \tag{30}$$

for some $c\in A$. The last implication for $x_1^n\in B$ gives (28).

For $x_1^n=(\mu_1^*(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}}y_1^s),\ldots,\mu_n^*(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}}y_1^s))$, where $y_1^s\in G$, $s\in\mathbb{N}$ and $i_1,\ldots,i_s\in\{1,\ldots,n\}$, after application of (14), from (30) we obtain

$$g_1\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}}y_1^s\not\in W\longrightarrow g_1\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}}y_1^s\in H_c\wedge g_2\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}}y_1^s\in H_c\,,$$

and, in the consequence (29).

In the similar way one can prove the converse statement. □

We say that a Menger $(2,n)$-semigroup $(\Phi,[\ \ ],\underset{1}{\oplus},\ldots,\underset{n}{\oplus})$ of n-place functions is *fundamentally ordered* if on Φ is defined the relation ζ_Φ such that

$$(f,g)\in\zeta_\Phi\Longleftrightarrow f\subset g\,.$$

Theorem 6. *An algebraic system $\mathcal{G}=(G,[\ \ ],\underset{1}{\oplus},\ldots,\underset{n}{\oplus},\zeta)$, where $[\ \ ]$ is an $(n+1)$-ary operation, $\underset{1}{\oplus},\ldots,\underset{n}{\oplus}$ are binary operations and $\zeta\subset G\times G$, is isomorphic to a fundamentally ordered Menger $(2,n)$-semigroup of n-place functions if and only if $(G,[\ \ ],\underset{1}{\oplus},\ldots,\underset{n}{\oplus})$ is isomorphic to some Menger $(2,n)$-semigroup of n-place functions and ζ is a stable ordering relation such that*

$$\big(g_1,g_2\big),\ \big(g,t_1(g_1)\big),\ \big(g,t_2(g_2)\big)\in\zeta\Longrightarrow\big(g,t_2(g_1)\big)\in\zeta \tag{31}$$

for all $g, g_1, g_2 \in G$ *and* $t_1, t_2 \in T(G)$.

Proof. Necessity. Let $\mathcal{G} = (G, [\ \ ], \underset{1}{\oplus}, \ldots, \underset{n}{\oplus}, \zeta)$ be isomorphic to a fundamentally ordered Menger $(2,n)$-semigroup $(\Phi, [\ \ ], \underset{1}{\oplus}, \ldots, \underset{n}{\oplus}, \zeta_\Phi)$ of n-place functions. We verity (31). The rest is a consequence of our Theorem 2.

Assume that $g, g_1, g_2 \in G$, $t_1(x), t_2(x) \in T(G)$ correspond to $f, f_1, f_2 \in \Phi$, $t_1(x), t_2(x) \in T(\Phi)$. If $\big(g_1, g_2\big), \big(g, t_1(g_1)\big), \big(g, t_1(g_2)\big) \in \zeta$, then $\big(f_1, f_2\big)$, $\big(f, t_1(f_1)\big)$, $\big(f, t_2(f_2)\big)$ are in ζ_Φ. Hence $f_1 = f_2 \circ \triangle_{\mathrm{pr}_1 f_1}$, where $\triangle_H = \{(a,a) \,|\, a \in H\}$, because $f_1 \subset f_2$. By analogy we obtain $f = t_1(f_1) \circ \triangle_{\mathrm{pr}_1 f}$ and $f = t_2(f_2) \circ \triangle_{\mathrm{pr}_1 f}$. Obviously $f \subset t_1(f_1)$ implies $\triangle_{\mathrm{pr}_1 f} \subset \triangle_{\mathrm{pr}_1 f_1}$. Thus

$$f = f \circ \triangle_{\mathrm{pr}_1 f_1} = t_2(f_2) \circ \triangle_{\mathrm{pr}_1 f} \circ \triangle_{\mathrm{pr}_1 f_1} = t_2(f_2) \circ \triangle_{\mathrm{pr}_1 f_1} \circ \triangle_{\mathrm{pr}_1 f} =$$

$$= t_2(f_2 \circ \triangle_{\mathrm{pr}_1 f_1}) \circ \triangle_{\mathrm{pr}_1 f} = t_2(f_1) \circ \triangle_{\mathrm{pr}_1 f}.$$

Hence $f \subset t_2(f_1)$, i.e. $\big(f, t_2(f_1)\big) \in \zeta_\Phi$. This proves (31).

Sufficiency. Consider the family $(P_g)_{g \in G}$ of representations of a Menger $(2,n)$-semigroup $(G, [\ \ ], \underset{1}{\oplus}, \ldots, \underset{n}{\oplus})$, where P_g is the simplest representation induced by the determining pair $(\mathcal{E}^*_{\zeta\langle g\rangle}, W_{\zeta\langle g\rangle})$, where $\mathcal{E}_{\zeta\langle g\rangle}$, $W_{\zeta\langle g\rangle}$ are defined by (20), (21), $\zeta\langle g\rangle = \{x \in G \,|\, \big(g, x\big) \in \zeta\}$, $\mathcal{E}^*_{\zeta\langle g\rangle} = \mathcal{E}_{\zeta\langle g\rangle} \cup \{(e_1, e_1), \ldots, (e_n, e_n)\}$, $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ – selectors of $(G^*, [\ \ ], \underset{1}{\oplus}, \ldots, \underset{n}{\oplus})$.

It is clear that

$$P = \sum_{g \in G} P_g. \tag{32}$$

is a representation of $(G, [\ \ ], \underset{1}{\oplus}, \ldots, \underset{n}{\oplus})$ by n-place functions.

We must proved that $\zeta = \zeta_P$. Let $(g_1, g_2) \in \zeta_P$, where $g_1, g_2 \in G$. Then $(g_1, g_2) \in \bigcap\limits_{g \in G} \zeta_{P_g}$, by (32) and (27). This together with Proposition 3 gives

$$\Big(\forall\ x_1^n \in B\Big)\Big([g_1, x_1^n] \notin W_{\zeta\langle g\rangle} \longrightarrow \big([g_1, x_1^n], [g_2, x_1^n]\big) \in \mathcal{E}_{\zeta\langle g\rangle}\Big) \tag{33}$$

for every $g \in G$. Replacing in (33) all x_i by e_i, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, we obtain the implication

$$g_1 \notin W_{\zeta\langle g\rangle} \longrightarrow \big(g_1, g_2\big) \in \mathcal{E}_{\zeta\langle g\rangle}\,,$$

which for $g = g_1$ gives $\big(g_1, g_2\big) \in \mathcal{E}_{\zeta\langle g_1\rangle}$, because $g_1 \notin W_{\zeta\langle g_1\rangle}$. Therefore

$$\big(g_1, t(g_1)\big) \in \zeta \longleftrightarrow \big(g_1, t(g_2)\big) \in \zeta$$

for all $t \in T(G)$. In particular, for $t(x) = x$, we get

$$\big(g_1, g_1\big) \in \zeta \longleftrightarrow \big(g_1, g_2\big) \in \zeta.$$

Applying the reflexivity of ζ we obtain $\big(g_1, g_2\big) \in \zeta$. Hence $\zeta_P \subset \zeta$.

Conversely, let $(g_1, g_2) \in \zeta$ for some $g_1, g_2 \in G$. At first we prove (28). Consider $[g_1, x_1^n] \notin W_{\zeta\langle g\rangle}$, where $g \in G$ and $x_1^n \in B$. Then there exists $t_1 \in T(G)$ such that $\big(g, t_1([g_1, x_1^n])\big) \in \zeta$. But $\big(g_1, g_2\big) \in \zeta$ and the stability of ζ imply $\big(t([g_1, x_1^n]), t([g_2, x_1^n])\big) \in \zeta$ for all $t \in T(G)$ and $x_1^n \in B$. Thus $\big(g, t([g_1, x_1^n])\big) \in \zeta$ implies $\big(g, t([g_2, x_1^n])\big) \in \zeta$. This, together with $\big(g_1, g_2\big) \in \zeta$, $\big(g, t_1([g_1, x_1^n])\big) \in \zeta$ and (31), gives $\big(g, t([g_1, x_1^n])\big) \in \zeta$. Therefore $\big([g_1, x_1^n], [g_2, x_1^n]\big) \in \mathcal{E}_{\zeta\langle g\rangle}$, which proves (28).

In the similar way we can prove (29). This, by Proposition 3, shows that $(g_1, g_2) \in \zeta_{P_g}$ for every $g \in G$, i.e. $(g_1, g_2) \in \zeta_P$. Hence $\zeta \subset \zeta_P$, and, in the consequence $\zeta = \zeta_P$.

Now if $P(g_1) = P(g_2)$ for some $g_1, g_2 \in G$, then $P(g_1) \subset P(g_2)$ and $P(g_2) \subset P(g_1)$. Thus $\big(g_1, g_2\big)$, $\big(g_2, g_1\big) \in \zeta$, which by the anti-symmetry of ζ gives $g_1 = g_2$. Hence P is an faithful representation and $\mathcal{G}$ is isomorphic to a fundamentally ordered Menger $(2, n)$-semigroup $(\Phi, [\ \ ], \underset{1}{\oplus}, \ldots, \underset{n}{\oplus}, \zeta_\Phi)$ of n-place functions. $\square$

References

[1] Belousov V. D. Systems of Orthogonal Operations, Math. USSR, Sb. **1969**, *172*, 32-52, (translation from Mat. Sb. (N.S.) *77(119)*, 38-58.

[2] Dudek W. A. On Some Group-like Menger n-groupoids, Proc. of II International Symposium "n-ary Structures", Varna **1983**, 83-94.

[3] Dudek W. A. On Group-like Menger n-groupoids, Radovi Mat. (Sarajevo) **1986**, *2*, 81-98.

[4] Dudek W. A. On Some n-ary Menger Groupoids, Mathematica (Cluj-Napoca) **2001**, *43(66)*, 195-201.

[5] Dudek W. A., Trokhimenko V. S. Functional Menger $\mathcal{P}$-algebras, Commun. Algebra **2002**, *30*, 5921-5931.

[6] Gluskin L.M. Composition of Multidimensional Functions, (Russian), Publ. Math. (Debrecen) **1970**, *17*, 349-378.

[7] Mann H. On Orthogonal Latin Squares, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **1944**, *50*, 249-257.

[8] Menger K. The Algebra of Functions: Past, Present, Future, Rend. Mat. **1961**, *20*, 409-490.

[9] Schein B.M. The Representation of Ordered Semigroups, (Russian), Math. Sb. **1964**, *65*, 188-197.

[10] Schein B. M. Lectures on Semigroups of Transformations, Am. Math. Soc., Translat. (2), **1979**, *113*, 123-181.

[11] Schein B. M., Trohimenko V. S. Algebras of Multiplace Functions, Semigroup Forum **1979**, *17*, 1-64.

[12] Sokhatsky F. N. An Abstract Characterization of $(2,n)$-semigroups of n-ary Operations, (Russian), Mat. Issled. **1982**, *65*, 132-139.

[13] Trokhimenko V.S. Ordered Algebras of Multiplace Functions, (Russian), Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Matematika **1971**, *104*, 90-98.

[14] Trokhimenko V. S. On Algebras of Binary Operations, (Russian), Mat. Issled. **1972**, *7*, 253-261.

[15] Trokhimenko V. S. On Some Menger Algebras of Multiplace Transformations of Ordered Sets, Algebra Universalis **1995**, *33*, 375-386.

[16] Yakubov T. On $(2,n)$-semigroups of n-ary Operations, (Russian), Bull. Akad. Ştiinţe SSR Moldov. **1974**, *1*, 29-46.

[17] Zareckii K. A. An Abstract Characterization of the Bisemigroups of Binary Operations, (Russian) Mat. Zametki **1967**, *1*, 525-530.